

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Deborah E. S. M., ¹)	C/A No.: 1:22-740-RBH-SVH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER
Kilolo Kijakazi, Acting)	
Commissioner of Social Security)	
Administration,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Defendant, Kilolo Kijakazi, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (“Commissioner”), by her attorneys, Corey F. Ellis, Interim United States Attorney for the District of South Carolina, and Marshall Prince, Assistant United States Attorney for said district, has moved this court, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to enter a judgment with an order of reversal with remand of the cause to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. [ECF No. 22]. The motion represents that additional administrative action is needed and that Plaintiff consents to the motion. *Id.*


Pursuant to the power of this court to enter a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the Commissioner’s decision with remand in Social

¹ The Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States has recommended that, due to significant privacy concerns in social security cases, federal courts should refer to claimants only by their first names and last initials.

Security actions under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g),² and given the parties' request for remand, this court hereby reverses the Commissioner's decision under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) with a remand of the cause to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292 (1993). Upon remand, the Appeals Council shall vacate the decision and refer the case to an ALJ to provide Plaintiff an opportunity for a new hearing, take action to complete the administrative record, and issue a new decision. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a separate judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 11, 2022
Columbia, South Carolina



Shiva V. Hodges
United States Magistrate Judge

² Pursuant to the court's standing order, *In the Matter of Consensual Dismissal of Actions Before Magistrate Judges*, M-90-3-FBH, and in accord with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the undersigned is authorized to enter judgment in the case.